INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

Page
30293
30293 30328
30329
30329 30330 30333 30336
30338
30344
30345
30347
30366
30367

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

(none)

Wednesday, 8 October 1947

INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST

Court House of the Tribunel War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 at 0930.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Appearances:

For the Tribunal, all Members sitting, with the exception of: HONORABLE JUSTICE R. B. PAL, Member from India and HONORABLE JUSTICE HENRI BERNARD, Member from the Republic of France, not sitting from 0930 to 1600; HONORABLE JUSTICE MYRON C. CRAMER, Member from the United States of America, not sitting from 1500 to 1600.

For the Prosecution Section, same as before. For the Defense Section, same as before.

The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment,

(English to Japanese and Japanese to English interpretation was made by the Language Section, IMTFE.)

Duda & Barton

MARSHALL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: With the Tribunal's permission, the accused TOGO will be absent from the court room for the whole of the morning session conferring with his counsel.

we we would be so and tree box

MR. Mattice.

8

9

10

4

5

6

SEISHIRO ITAGAKI, an accused, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

11

DIRECT EXAMINATION

13

MR. MATTICE (Continued): Resuming reading of exhibit 3316, beginning on page 26, III:

14

"III. IN MY DAYS AS THE COMMANDER OF THE FIFTH DIVISION. (Between 1 March 1937 - 3 June 1938)

16

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

"1. On 1 March 1937, I was transferred from the position of the Chief of Staff of the Kwantung Army to Hiroshima, in Japan, as Commander of the Fifth Division. At that time the 5th Division was a division on peace footing and I, the divisional commander, was in charge of controlling all my subordinate units and responsible for the military administration within my jurisdiction. Regarding other matters, however, I was not in a position to state my opinion with any authority

nor to give any advice.

on 7 July 1937, I was, as usual, on duty at the headquarters of the 5th Division in Hiroshima. I learned about the incident by reading about it in the newspapers of the following morning. I did not consider it was serious, as I believed that it was only a trouble that an expeditionary unit usually has, and that the incident would soon be settled on the spot. I did not dream that this incident would develop into such a great affair as the China-Japanese Incident. Knowing that the Japanese policy at that time was localization and the settlement on the spot, I expected that it would be so settled.

"3. However, the negotiations between Japanese and Chinese armies on the spot, which was based on the Japanese policy of localization, was ineffectual.

Despite a hope for such settlement, owing to the defiant attitude of the Chinese, the situation became anything but reassuring.

"In this situation, on 27 July, an emergency mobilization order was issued to the Fifth Division.

On 2 August of the same year the emergency mobilization had been completed. The first and second contingents left the Ujina Harbour on 3rd and 7th of August, re-

2

3

1

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

14

15

16 17

18

19

21

22

24

spectively. After passing Korea and South Manchuria by railroad they reached Peiping, and then participated in various battles in North China. At the end of May 1938, on order from Tokyo, I left the position of the Commander of the Fifth Division and was en route to Tokyo.

"4. In December 1937 the main force of our Fifth Division was concentrating in the direction of Paoting from Shansi. When I was in Paoting on 17 December of the same year, I heard of the formal entry into Nanking of the Japanese Army despatched to Central China, but I had no knowledge of or responsibility concerning the so-called 'Nanking Incident.'

only did my duty in accordance with the order of my superior commander -- the army commander. In the control and command of the subordinate units, I paid special attention to the establishment of stern military discipline. Above all, I warned my men against any misbehaviour toward the residents. If a soldier did anything wrong, he would have been punished according to military law. In addition to such punishment, both the commander of the unit to which that soldier belonged and the responsible persons for the control of that soldier were punished according to their

13

16 17

15

18

20

22

responsibility. And thus, I tried to enhance the prestige of the Army.

"IV. The Circumstances of my Appointment as War Minister.

"1. At the end of April or the beginning of
May 1938, when I was at Ihrien in Shantung Province,
North China, as the Commander of the Fifth Division,
Mr. FURUNO, Inosuke, who had been the director of the
Domei News Agency, unexpectedly visited me as Premier
KONOYE's representative, to convey the Premier's desire to me. The gist of KONOYE's desire was as follows:

"Despite the KONOYE Cabinet's policy of localization, since the outbreak of the Lukouchiao Incident,
the war was extended from North China to Central China.
In view of such situation, it was necessary to have a
person, as Minister of War, who would be able to change
the policy toward China to a peace policy and who could
carry it out. For this purpose, considering my career
and my ideas, KONOYE thought I was the best man for
the post. Therefore, with that in mind, KONOYE wished
to know whether I would accept the post as Minister
of War.

"I knew that Premier KONOYE's intention coincided with my idea at that time, namely the withdrawal of the entire Japanese forces and the peaceful settle-

1

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

ment of the Japan-China conflict. Later, toward the end of May of the same year, ANAMI, the Chief of the Personal Affairs Bureau, War Ministry, visited me, carrying the official informal order for my appointment as Minister of War, which same had the recommendation of the big three directors of the army (the Sub-Chief of the General Staff, TADA, the Inspector-General of Military Education, NISHIO, and the Minister of War, SUGIYAWA), and requested me to accept that position.

"I decided to accept the appointment and went to Tokyo. On 3 June of the same year, I took office as the Minister of War.

"2. My fundamental attitude as Minister of War.

Japan and China must not contend with each other, but join hands. This was the consistent principle of the Japanese diplomacy toward China. However, the Japanese efforts towards localization and settlement on the spot were all in vain, and a local conflict that occurred in North China between the Japanese and the Chinese gradually developed into a large-scale conflict between the two nations, extending over a large area. While Japan was thus reluctantly forced into such a situation, the Soviet Union,

to the North, increased her national strength enormously after completing several units of her five-year-plan. Her armaments in the Far East were over-whelmingly superior, threatening Japanese rear gate. On the other hand, Anglo-American powers were increasingly assuming an attitude of aiding Chiang and interrupting our military movements.

"Especially, we were concerned about the United States pressure upon Japan (consisting mainly of financial pressure). If such things would go on, Japan should be doomed to stand alone internationally under the encirclement by Britain, the United States, Soviet Union and China. Therefore, Japan must accomplish peace with China, without a moment's delay. And, with regard to the relation with China, I firmly believed that the two countries must bring to an end all the past conflict between them and rebuild mutual diplomacy on the basis of a broad point of view, thereby realizing mutual benefits, equality and harmonious relations.

"(b) Based on the above-mentioned fundamental attitudes, I, as the Minister of War, decided the outline of the policy for dealing with the state affairs as follows:

"As the policy for the fighting forces:

8 9

1

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17 18

19

21

22

23

24

25

"To firmly establish military discipline and to attempt maintenance of fighting power against the menace of the Soviet Union. As the policy of state, to alter peace terms with China and to accomplish peaceful settlement quickly. To attempt an innovation in the management of national government, recognizing the fact that the situation is grave, in order to reinforce our defending powers against the Soviet menace and to supplement fighting materials which are being rapidly consumed as the policy for China, to lead the debouchment by the military power to the end, to attempt transfer of military strength, and adjustment of the front line, thereby stabilizing the situation in the occupied area, and to endeavor to bring about a settlement of peace with Chiang Kaishek. As the policy regarding the Soviet Union, to keep watch of her debouchment and to maintain peace with her. As the policy regarding Britain and France, to endeaver to get them to stop their Chiang aid and act in concert with us for terminating the hostilities. As the policy for Germany and Italy, to ask of each of them their co-operation in the settlement of the Japan-China hostilities. To maintain friendship with the United States and trust that friendship would be the means of bringing about an end to the China-Japan hostilities.

,

Ĭ

"3. My activities as Minister of War (3 June 1938 - 29 August 1939).

battle that I took office as Minister of War. At that time, as it was believed that the Hankow Operation would be unavoidable in view of the general situation, the Central Supreme Command of the arry (the Army Department of the Imperial General Headquarters) had just commenced preparations for that operation. The circumstances at that time (about June 1938) were as outlined below:

"(a) The peace terms to be offered to China should not be so exacting as the ones we had proposed when Mr. Trautman acted as go-between. Unless the Japanese authorities would modify the terms and make them more certain and reasonable, it appeared unlikely that the Chinese would accept them. However, both the Japanese public opinion and the government's policy had still been far from further reducing those terms.

"(b) In order to conclude peace with China, we had to make it possible to deal with Chiang Kai-shek. Nevertheless, being confronted with the KONOYE declaration of 16 January, this year (1938), which included the statement that Japan would not deal with the Kuo-mintang Government (even though Premier KONOYE himself

3

5 6

7 8

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

20

23 24

21

understood the wrongfulness of that declaration) things were not such as were likely to develop a tendency favourable to the further re-examination of this declaration as a national policy.

"(c) As one of the important keys for the reorganization of the KONOYE Cabinet, enjoying the great confidence of the people, Mr. UGAKI succeeded Mr. HIROTA and a little ahead of my entry took office as the Minister of Foreign Affairs on 26 May. However, it was still not long after his inauguration and no progress had been made about his concrete policy.

"(d) China asked the League of Nations for help and was successful in making the league resolve to help China.

"(e) The Japanese Supreme Command had counted greatly on the Hsuchow Battle as the decisive battle with the main force of the Chinese Central Army, for bringing about the termination of operations, the end of war and the settlement of the incident. However, owing to the great difference in strength and the extent of the fighting area, the Japanese finally could not achieve its strategical object, thereby missing the prize as well as the opportunity, to settle the incident peacefully. Compared with this, the Chinese concentrated great strength in front of Hankow

7.

and had been vigorously preparing for counter attacks.

If things would go on as they were the few Japanese forces which were posted in many scattered places in a large area would be exposed to extreme danger.

"Therefore, the Army Supreme Command considered that the only way for us was to deliver another counterattack on the Chinese and to make it a turning point for seizing the opportunity for peace. Thus the Supreme Command was obliged to prepare for the Hankow Operation; and some parts of our forces began to move.

that there were important defects in our preparations for defense against the Soviet Union in the north and that that weakness on our part would increase in the future. Under such circumstances, I, having but recently assumed my post, could not help being aware of the extreme difficulties of the talk of leading the situation to peace. That is to say, we had to aim at the end of the Hankow Operation for the purpose of taking the next opportunity for peace, while on the other hand, we had to devise measures that would become materials of the peace settlement.

"At the same time, the situation required us to replace the fighting materials that were being

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

12

13 14

15

17

18 19

20

21

23

24

consumed rapidly and not to neglect to maintain the national power for the purpose of defending against the Soviet Union.

policies that were necessary for the national mobilization (exhibit 856) and the revision of the plan of demand and supply of important materials (exhibit 857) were made for remedying the defects of the defending strength and was not for preparing for aggressive war.

"(2) Although Hankow Operation (Canton Operation was a subsidiary one to Hankow Operation) was
planned and executed, as above mentioned, by the

Japanese High Command with a view to cover its own weak
points and to make a turning point for the termination
of hostilities and peaceful settlement, its object of
immediate peace could not be attained even after the
ending of the operation (Hankow fell on October 27,
1937) and the year of 1938 passed over fruitlessly.

"The important matters with which I was confronted, during this period, as the War Minister of
the KONOYE Cabinet, were the following, and the circumstances resulting from executing her measures forced
Japan into a prolonged war it feared most.

"(a) To keep our relation with Soviet Russia tranquil was one of the absolute essentials. It was

3

5

6

7 8

9

11

12

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

22

23

25

also an important factor in exhibiting internally and externally, the safety of her national defense. So, Japan was quite astonished when the Chang Ku-feng Incident unexpectedly broke out in July-August, 1938, when she was still engaged in the task of preparing for the Hankow Operation.

"In dealing wwith this incident, I hoped for settlement by diplomatic negotiations, embodying the governmental principle of non-expansion, and endeavoured to achieve immediate and local settlement with accord of views between the War Ministry and the General Staff Disregarding anticipated serious disadvantages, the Japanese Army firmly stuck to the principle of localization and non-expansion and was barely able to terminat the incident before it became too serious. It brought about, however, greater need for attention to the menace in the north and realization of the superiority of the Soviet machanized forces over the Japanese forces and, consequently, lessened the margin of the Japanese preparedness for China. Thus, it resulted in doubts, internally and externally, of the preparednes of Japan.

"(b) Since the outbreak of the Sino-Japanese incident, Japan refrained from establishing military government, and stood upon the policy and principle of

leaving the civil administration and maintenance of peace to the Chinese. Nevertheless, not a few such accomplishments were due to the management by Japanese (taking the military operations into consideration) in the Department of Special Affairs. This placed a considerable load on the army. Thus, the organization of the China Affairs Board (i.e. Koa-yin) was proposed by the army, and adopted by the cabinet as the national policy, of relieving the army of their tasks with a view of bringing about the unification of administration.

mentioned, the China Affairs Board was established on December 18, 1938, as the unifying organ, with the Premier as president and the Ministers of Navy and Var and Foreign Affairs as vice-presidents. It was about the middle of the following March when the liaison offices on the spots started their work in cooperation with the Chinese Administration, to promote political, economical and cultural measures. The intervention of the army was held to the minimum.

"(c) It was sine que non for the realization of peace to amend the peace proposals to China, and convert Japan to a policy of dealing with Mr. Chiang Kai-shek. I, therefore, attached prime significance to re-examining the fundamentals of the national policy

Š

regarding the adjustment of the relations between

Japan and China; making the true intention of Japan

understandable to China, demonstrating to the world

that it be embodied in the national policy, and be

carried out faithfully; and developing our national

policies so as to make for good prospects for the re
alization of peace.

"In the KONOYE statement issued at the time of the fall of Juhan Three Cities on 3 November 1938 (exhibit 268) Japan hoped to establish the relations of mutual aid, ranging over all the fields of politics, economy, culture, etc., establish international justice, achieve the anti-communism objective, create a new culture, and realize the economic combination, between Japan and China.

"In the interest of realizing those policies, the Policy of Adjusting New Relations between Japan and China (exhibit 269) was decided on the 30th of the same month. Furthermore, as a detailed explanation the KONOYE statement (exhibits 268, 2535) was issued on 22 December 1938. This declared to the world, that it was self-evident that what Japan wanted of China was not territory, nor reimbursement of war expenses, but that Japan was willing, not only to respect the sovereignty of China, but also to withdraw her

extraterritoriality, as well as to give consideration to returning the settlement. I did my utmost, as War Minister, to promote that policy. However, China's reaction, especially Mr. Chiang Kai-shek's, was very weak. It was, therefore, not a suitable time to carry on peace negotiations.

it had been deemed advisable to join hands with important persons of China who were believed to posses an ardor for peace, to aid them, and hope to bring about peace. In July 1938 Premier KONOYE gave the instruction to Mr. BANZAI, Rihachiro (recommended by UGAKI, War Minister) to make liaison with Mr. Tang. Shao-i and Mr. Wu Pei-fu, men of high reputation who had a desire for peace, and asked them for their cooperation. The army sent Lieutenant General DOHIHARA, and the navy, Lieutenant General TSUDA, to cooperate in it, but the plan failed, due to the assassination of Mr. Tang Shao-i in December 1938.

"(e) The problem of the coalition of Japan,
Germany and Italy was already under study by the affairs
authorities of the three departments of Army, Navy,
and External Affairs before I occupied the post of
War Minister (about May 1938). As the proposal of
Ribbentrop, the Foreign Minister of Germany, arrived

answer after consulting the Five Ministers' Conference and waited for the formal proposal of Germany. Early in November, the initial proposal to be used as the basis of discussion arrived from Germany. I wanted to utilize it as a means to obtain Sino-Japanese peace immediately after the end of Hangkao Operation, and proposed the discussion of this matter before the Five Ministers' Conference on 11 November. But, my proposal met with difficulty in the accord of cabinet opinion, and we became unable to make the speedy conclusion of the Japan-German negotiation serve to accomplish the rapid realization of Sino-Japanese peace.

"(f) Japan's withdrawal from the League of Nations, which was decided through consultation in the conference of the Board of Council on 2 November 1938 (exhibit 271) was, as was made clear in that conference carried out because Japan could not continue cooperation with the league and, at the same time, maintain its national dignity.

"I made no statements at this conference.

"(g) The conclusion of an agreement between Japan and Germany regarding cultural cooperation which was discussed in the Board of Council Conference on 22 November 1938 (exhibit 589), it was not Japan's

intention to conclude this kind of treaty with Germany only, but with other nations as the circumstances dictated, and contribute to general purposes of dip-lomacy, and it was evident that it did not have any

5 political meaning.

policy of nojusting the new relations between Japan and China was discussed in the Five Ministers' Conference on 25 November 1938, and decided by the Imperial Council on the 30th of the same month. The project of securing Hainan Island, proposed by the navy at that time, was approved by the same conference as a purely military measure and a temporary one which came about inevitably in order to make this blockade operation more effective, and it was hoped that same would accelerate the solution of the incident.

"(i) That Japan should pay careful attention regarding the observance of the laws of war in militar activities; respect and protect the interests of third powers in China; make it a principle to remove the temperary obstacles made necessary by military operations, and restore former conditions as soon as possible after the war. That Japan would stand by the principle of equal opportunity, was often declared by the Japanese Government in answer to the complaints

3

4 5

6

8.

9

11

13

15

14

16

18

20

21

23*

25

of the third powers (among others, America) up to October or November 1938, and Japan tried faithfully to carry it out.

"However, that the third powers pressed Japan for strict observance of treaties which did not conform to the current situation, and utilized it as an excuse for assisting Chiang, and the tendency of the powers to be against Japan and aid Chiang became more pronounced.

"Thus, the KONOYE Cabinet, being unable to accomplish the solving of the problem of securing Sino-Japanese peace, was forced to resign.

on January 4, 1939, succeeding to the KONOYE Cabinet, I remained as War Minister upon the earnest request of Mr. HIRANUMA. My fundamental attitude as War Minister was the same as when I was in the KONOYE Cabinet. As before stated, as it became almost impossible to bring about a speedy peace between Japan and China, there was nothing left to do but to take measures to cope with the existing situation for the purpose of gradually breaking down the Chinese anti-Japanese attitude, and that meant the demonstration, on the one hand, of our determination not to shun even a long war,

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

and, on the other hand, to lay great importance on the principle of urging our policy by measures other than the military activities. Although this doctrine was carried out ever since the end of the KONOYE Cabinet, it was intensified in the period of the HIRANUMA Cabinet.

"Now, the principal matters dealt with while I was War Minister of the HIRANUMA Cabinet were as follows:

"(a) The bill 'General Principles of the Replenishment of the Productive Faculties! (exhibit No. 842) drafted by the Planning Board and approved by the cabinet meeting of January 1939, was the one previously studied at the War Ministry on the basis of 'The Plans of the Replenishment of the Important Industries' (5-year Industrial Plan) proposed by the General Staff Office at about April of the 12th year of Showa (1937), and transferred to the cabinet council. The real purpose of the plan was to establish Japan's economy, in order to acquire security of national defense against the menace of the Soviet Union, and thoreby secure the safety of Manchukuo in general so that our plans might be realized in accordance with the 5-year plan for the development of Monchurian industries projected by the Government of Manchukuo.

.0

But as it had taken a long time in various studies on the plans, the plan was changed to a 4-year plan, and the scope was also diminished, as was testified by the witness OKADA, Kikusaburo (March 30, 22nd year of Showa - 1947). Thus, just at the juncture of our unavoidable change of course to a long-period war, our plans were such that they could not keep pace with the new situation.

"(b) Referring to the participation of Manchukue and Hungary in the Anti-Comintern Pact, discussed at the Privy Council on February 22 of the same
year (exhibit No. 491), this much may be said; that,
in view of the aim of the Anti-Comintern Pact an
increase of participating powers was always welcome,
and, accordingly, Japan merely intended to strengthen
the pact quantitatively so that the level of her international position under the aforementioned circumstances
might be raised. Negotiation was also under way
between Japan and Germany about the nethod of qualitatively strengthening the spirit of the Anti-Comintern
to meet the attitude of the Comintern and the power
behind it, the Soviet Union. I attended this session
of the Privy Council, but did not make any statement.

"(c) Concerning the story appearing in the Japan Advertiser of March 17 of the same year (exhibit

13

8

10

11

12

15

17

19

20

21

22

24

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

No. 2200) purporting to report a Diet speech by me,
I never made such a speech as appeared in that paper
in all my utterances throughout the whole sessions
of the 75th Imperial Diet of that year.

"(d) Concerning the question of closing the British Settlement at Tientsin, Premier HIRANUMA informed me about June 26 or 27 that the British had made a proposal wishing the question to be carried to diplomatic negotiations at Tokyo, but as the idea of the army must be predominant in this affair, he wished to know the intention of the army before he would have liaisoned with the Foreign Office authorities. I at once agreed to that proposal. We summoned the representatives from the spot to Tokyo, and had them cooperate in the talk so that the elimination of the differences in views between the authorities at the center and at the spot be effected; thus in endeavoring to lead the parley toward success we achieved our purpose so far as the problem of the public peace was concerned. On the problem of general principles we also succeeded in the issue of the Anglo-Japanese Joint Declaration on July 24.

"(e) The problem of the American loan: In view of the success of the Anglo-Japanese talk as above mentioned, I believed that we could eventually

4

18

20

21

22

23

find solution of the problems vis-a-vis the British.

In regard to our relations with America, I believed that we might perhaps improve them, when the loan negotiations with America, which had been pending since the previous January, carried on by civilians and supported by Mr. HIRANUMA, should succeed.

(f) The problem of Mr. Wang Chao-ming: As
I felt that a good opportunity was approaching for the
realization of peace, I asked Mr. Wang Chao-ming to
accelerate the peace movement.

"(g) In regard to the Nomonhan Affairs, I endeavoured, after deliberation with the Supreme Command, and with the consent of the Cabinet, to bring about a speedy settlement, approving the policy of the Kwantung Army, which was to settle the matter locally and defensively.

"(h) On the negotiation between Japan, Germany and Italy I thought it was the way to relieve the Japanese from death by suffocation; to save continental China; to elevate the international position of Japan; to cause the Chinese Government to give up her policy of tolerance to communism and resistance to Japan, in order to give the Powers opportunities to turn China to the cause of anti-communism, co-prosperity and co-existence, and at the same time strengthen her defense against the militaristic and communistic menace of Russia in the north.

"At the first five-ministers conference of
the HIRANUMA cabinet, the counter-proposal of the
Foreign Office against the German proposition received
at the beginning of January was discussed. After it
was revised to some extent, the proposition was made
the instruction, and then the conference decided to
send to Europe a group of special envoys composed of
persons from the Army, the Navy and the Foreign Office.

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

They sailed on 2nd February. The essence of the instruction the envoys took with them was as follows:

"The main objective was Russia, but Britain and France might sometimes come within the objective, according to situations. Military assistance would be given if the matter concerned Russia. When Britain and France were under consideration, it would depend on the situation. For instance, in case of their being Bolshevized, the matter of military assistance and its extent would subjectively be decided. Another mission of the envoys was to explain it to the world as an extension of the anti-communistic agreement. Nevertheless, the German side, aiming at the general political effect, requested relexation of the above limitation of militaristic assistance against Third Powers, except Russia, to which the Japanese Government, after repeated discussions, sent a message from Mr. HIRANUMA to Hitler on May 5 and told him he should appreciate that Japan's position was such that it could not relax the limitation regarding assistance against Third Powers other than Russia, and asking that Germany concede that point. But just at that time complications gradually began to set in. Mr. Wantiao-ming visited Tokyo (June 1st) and the problem concerning the blockede of the British settlement in Tientsin occurred (June 14), followed by

.0

20

21

23

24

,

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Mongolian troops, while in Europe the situation was threatening on account of the occurrence of the Danzig question.

"Meanwhile, in view of there being no alternative but to require Germany to concede, I dispetched Major General MACHIJIRI, the Chief of the War Service Bureau, on 11 August, to request German Attache Ott to make a concession on the part of Germany. However, the conclusion of the non-invasion treaty between Germany and Soviet Russia was officially announced on August 22, and that ended the matter of the proposed pact. Thus Prime Minister HIRANUMA, on the 23rd, indicated his intention of resigning, end with which I agreed. After presenting our resignations to the Throne, I conferred with the three heads and recommended Lieutenant General TADA, who edvocated peace enthusiastically, to become Minister of War. I resigned my post with the resignation of the HIRANUMA cabinet on August 29, 1939, and was appointed as a member of the General Staff (a sinecure office).

"V. The period during which I held the office of the Chief of the Staff of the China Expeditionary Army (Spet. 12, 1939 - July 7, 1941).

"Throughout the period of my being the Wer

Minister I was sincerely desirous of restoring an early
peace between Japan and China and of evacuating the
Japanese forces from the whole Chinese territory. For
that purpose, I took every possible measure and did
what I could to attain the object speedily. However,
it was regrettable that, on account of the situation,
I was obliged to resign my post in the cabinet, leaving
the China Incident unsettled.

"On September 12, 1939, when the General Headquarters of the China Expeditionary Army was organized,
I was unexpectedly appointed as the Chief of Staff
under Commander-General NISHIO, and left for the post
in Nanking, where I remained up to July 7, 1941. Meanwhile, according to the actual situation in the field,
I tried strenuously to bring to a speedy settlement
the problem of restoration of a peace between Japan
and China, which I had been unable to realize while
War Minister.

"(a) As to our military operations, meantime,
there was nothing but small, supplementary operations
in order to strengthen the blockade of the supply
route to the fighting strength of the Chungking regime.

So we tried to secure our occupying areas, maintain
public order and stabilize the living of the people.

"(b) The collaboration with Wang's Govern-

ment was chiefly in charge of Ambassador ABE, but the Expeditionary Army gave facilities and assistance to the Ambassador, to the extent that it did not interfere with the military operations. In particular it supported Mr. Wang Chao-ming's 'National Salvation Peace Movement' and wished the Nanking Government to aim ultimately at joining with the Chungking Government.

"(c) Since the possibility of peace negotiation with Mr. Chiang Kai-shek through the offices of 10 Mr. Sun Tzu-chieh, a younger brother of Mr. Sun Tzu-wan, was found by the Hongkong Organ early in 1940, I support-12 ed it most enthusiastically and was ready to meet Mr. 13 Chiang Kai-shek myself in Changsha. In enticipation of its success, I requested the delay of the establishment of Wang's Government, but, despite our wishes, the negotiation ended in a failure.

"(d) In January, 1941 Mr. Chang Yen-hsiang, who was then in Peiping, informed me of the desire of Doctor Steward, the President of the Yenshing University, to have a talk with me. As I thought it highly desirable to inform Doctor Steward of our attitude of seeking a speedy settlement of the Incident, and to obtain his opinion and assistance, based on deep experience, I dispatched a member of the staff to get in touch with Doctor Steward, who agreed to convey our wishes to the

17 18

19 20

21

22

President of the United States that she would act as a mediator in an endeavor to solve the Sino-Japanese Incident amicably. So I advised the central military authorities to that effect and wished them to earnestly promote peace, but, later on, the American-Japanese negotiations became so aggravated that we could not obtain any result.

"(e) The South China Expeditionary Army in Centon was, by orders of the Imperial Headquarters as of July 5, 1940, released from the command of our China Expeditionary Army and placed under the jurisdiction of the Imperial Headquarters. Toward the end of September in the same year, a detachment of the South China Expeditionary Army was, by order of Imperial Headquarters ordered to proceed to North Indo-China. Neither I nor the China Expeditionary Army requested or advised this and we had no control over it or responsibility concerning it.

"VI. The period of my being the Commander of the Korean Army (July 7, 1941 - April 8, 1945) and that of my being the Commander of the 7th Area Army (April 8, 1945 - war's end).

"(a) I was the Commander of the Korean Army from July 1941 up to April 1945.

"As the Army had been organized on peacetime

9

3

11

10

13 14

15

16

17

18

20

21

23

footing up to February 1945, I was not at all concerned in the promotion of the Pacific War. In other words, I had no operational duties concerning the Pacific War, until the Korean Army was reorganized on a wartime footing as the 17th Area Army, and detailed on the service of protecting Korea on January 1, 1945.

"As regards the telegram dated February 28, 1942, from the Chief of Staff of the Korean Army to the Vice-Minister of War, requesting the sending of prisoners to Korea, and also the telegram dated March 23, 1942 from the Army Commander to the War Minister, reporting on the plan of accommodating the prisoners, they were both dispatched, sent at the request of the Director-General of the Priconers Information Bureau, according to the report of Major-General TAKAHASHI, Hiroshi, who was then the Chief of Staff of the Korean Army. I have been informed that the purpose was to transport the prisoners from the southern fighting areas to an atmosphere of quietness in the Japan areas. I made it a rule to treat the prisoners fairly, gave them as much in the way of facilities and provisions as possible. I never saw the above-mentioned dispatches, did not know of their being sent and did not order them sent.

"(b) I was appointed Commander of the 7th

6

11

12

13

14

24

Area Army (in Singapore) on April 8, 1945 and took command of the Army there from April 22nd on. The duty of this Army was to defend its defense area, but before we could open hostilities against the enemy, the war came to an end. Such conditions existed that communications with various quarters were destroyed by the allied forces.

"Finally, I state positively that I never became a Supreme War Councillor throughout my career."

10

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Ten, Mr. Mattide.

a few questions, if I may.

O General, during the course of this trial you

have been the positiony in which the scendled March To ident and Orleber Incluent were santioned. Did you

have any connection with elimin of these methers?

Q Did you have any counsetien with an organ-

I ald not know even about the existence

The word annual read with Dr. STATES

Trans new

bid you have any meating or convergation

with him reter to the 18th of Centember, 1931, Sa

THE PRESIDENT: Did Hankow fall in 1937 1 as stated at the top of page 33? 2 MR. MATTICE: I will check on it. 3 THE PRESIDENT: Yes, there is no hurry 4 about it. MR. MATTICE: They are making certain about that; I am unable to answer the question. 7 I am informed that the correct date is 1938. 8 THE PRESIDENT: 1938. 9 Yes, Mr. Mattice. 10 MR. MATTICE: I desire to ask General ITAGAKI 11 12 a few questions, if I may. Q General, during the course of this trial you 13 14 have heard the testimony in which the so-called March 15 Incident and October Incident were mentioned. Did you 16 have any connection with either of those matters? 17 I had absolutely no connection. 18 Q Did you have any connection with an organ-19 ization called the Sakura-Kai? 20 I did not know even about the existence of 21 such an organization. Of course, I had no connection. 22 Were you acquainted with Dr. OKAWA? 23 A I knew him. 24 Did you have any meeting or conversation 25

with him prior to the 18th of September, 1931, as

testified by a witness, transcript, page 1980?

A I had not had any occasion to meet him during a period of five or six years prior to the Incident on September 18, nor have I ever conducted correspondence with him.

- Q You know General TANAKA, do you not?
- A Yes, I do.
- Q You heard General TANAKA testify in this trial, which testimony appears in transcript, page 1985, that he had a meeting and a talk with you at Mukden about June, 1930?

A I did not meet with General TANAKA nor have
I had any conversation with him regarding the Incidentthe Manchurian Incident.

Q Do you know of a place called Ryojun? It is spelled in Romanji, R-y-o-j-u-n.

I now learn that it refers to a place known as Port Arthur.

- A Yes, I know the place well.
- Q Did you have a meeting and talk with General TANAKA at that place about June, 1930?

A No, I have not met him nor had any talk with him.

Q Now, General, you heard the testimony given here by General TANAKA, which appears in the transcript

4

2

3

6

5

7 8

9

11

12

13

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

23

24

3

4

7

9

10

beginning on page 1985, on July 5, 1946, in which General TANAKA said that he had a conversation with you in which you said this, or this in substance: That the situation between China and Japan in Manchuria at that time was extremely aggravated in view of the fact that many pending issues between the two countries, treaty problems and others, had been unsolved. However, after the death of Chang Tso-lin, the relationship between China and Japan became even more seriously aggravated. Furthermore, that the pending questions in Manchuria between the two countries were so serious that their settlement could not be arrived at by diplomatic means; that there was no alternative in solving these problems except the use of armed force; that armed force should be resorted to in Manchuria in order to drive out Chang Hsueh-liang; and, after driving the war lords out, to establish in Manchuria Chinese-Japanese cooperation by literally joining the hands of the two peoples and thereby endeavoring to create a model state under the rule of law and order in accordance with the principles of the kingly way. Did you make any such statement to General TANAKA or anyone else?

A First of all, I was not in Port Arthur.

22 -

I was on one month's trip during the month of June in Tsingtao, Peiping and Tientsin. Secondly, the opinion of the Kwantung Army headquarters, including myself, was that in spite of the existence of anti-Japanese movements and conditions in Manchuria, we must, above all, at all times uphold the policy of bringing chart a settlement of the pending issues by all means but that armed clashes must in all events be avoided. For instance, to cite one example, the case of NAKAMURA, Shintaro. This Incident caused a very serious shock to the army but even in this case the settlement of the Incident was sought through diplomatic means. This alone should be proof enough that I could not have made such a statement one year and three months previously.

Q General, I will ask you if you made this statement to General TANAKA which appears in the transcript at page 1987 during the testimony of General TANAKA on July 5, 1946--

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Mattice, according to the transcript at page 1984, TANAKA said that he met ITAGAKI at Port Arthur in June, 1931, three months before the Mukden Incident.

Q General, tell this Tribunal whether you had any meeting with or conversation with General TANAKA

at Port Arthur or any other place in June of 1930, or June of 1931, or are other time?

A No.

MR. MATTICE: If the Tribunal please, I am informed that in reading the paragraph under "V," page 42, which states that "evacuation of <u>Japanese</u> forces from the whole Chinese territory," by inadvertence I read that, "evacuating the <u>Chinese</u> forces." It reads--

THE PRESIDENT: I am sure the transcript will have it right.

MR. MATTICE: I was not certain that the reporte had so recorded it.

Now, if the Tribunal please, with respect to the date mentioned by your Honor, I am informed that the Japanese copy reads 1930, June 1930; the English copy reads 1931, and I assume it makes no difference because I have had the witness cover the entire period.

THE PRESIDENT: No, in view of his answers it makes no difference but we refer the matter to the language section.

MR. MATTICE: That concludes the direct examination. I understand other counsel desire to conduct some examination of this witness.

,

6

'

0

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

4

6

8

10

11

12

14

17

16

19

18

20

21

23

25

MR. KATO: I am Counsel KATO. I have two additional questions to ask the witness in the direct examination.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. KATO:

Q At the end of October in 1931 when HONJO was Commander in Chief of the Kwantung Army, did DOHIHARA go to meet Pu-Yi? Under the orders of HONJO did he go to meet Pu-Yi at Tientsin?

A Yes.

Q At that time was the witness a senior staff officer of the Kwantung Army?

A Yes.

According to prosecution evidence, court exhibit 300, it is alleged that DOHIHARA at that time went to Tientsin and spent 50,000 yuan for the purpose of bribing the Chinese Peace Preservation Corps and plain-clothes men, and so forth, and it is also written that he handed over to the plain-clothes men arms which had been transported by the Kwantung Army. Did the Kwantung Army ever send money or arms to DOHIHARA for such a purpose?

A Absolutely none as far as my own knowledge of this matter is concerned.

Q One more point. The next point is: When the

20

24

224

23.

24

25

witness was Army Minister in the KONOYE Cabinet at that time, in July of 1938, was a general peace with China planned through Tang Shao-i and Wu Pei-fu?

A' Yes, it is exactly as I have set forth in my affidavit.

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, counselor.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. KATO:

Q Is it true that in the same year, that is, 1938, in the month of November -- is it a fact that in the same year, that is, 1938, in the month of November, the peace efforts came to a dead stop because of the assassination of Tang Shao-i?

A Yes --

THE PRESIDENT: You cannot ask him to repeat what is in the affidavit.

A (Continuing) I think that was in December.

4

6

5

7 8

10

11

12

14

15

17

10

20

21

23.

Q Do you know that DOHIHARA after that incident tried hard to further the peace movement until the next year of March, next year of 1939; that is, until March of the next year, 1939, that he kept on trying very hard to further the peace movement?

A Yes, I know that very well.

Q Do you know that in spite of all that that DOHIHARA could not succeed with his efforts, and that at the end of March of that year he returned to Tokyo?

A That is my understanding.

THE PRESIDENT: This witness has given no evidence against DOHIHARA, and at least a question arises as to whether you should be able to cross-examine him in those circumstances.

Q In March of that year did DOHIHARA take the post of the Commander-in-Chief of the Japanese Armies in Manchuria -- the Fifth Army in Manchuria?

A Yes.

MR. KATO: That is all.

MR. HOZUMI: I would like to make a direct examination in behalf of the accused KIDO.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. HOZUMI:

Q General, shortly after you were appointed to the Minister of War of the KONOYZ Cabinet, did you call

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

21

Marquis KIDO, the Minister of Welfare, at his private residence on June 18, 1938?

Yes, I have recollection of having made the visit about that time.

Did you dine with him and have a free exchange of views?

Yes.

"hat was the subject of the conversation?

The general subject of the conversation evolved around the subject, the point how to effect a speedy settlement of the China Incident.

Do you recall what Marquis KIDO told you about the China Incident?

I heard from him the explanation that the recent reorganization of the KONOYE Cabinet was undertaken for the purpose -- undertaken with the aim of bringing about a prompt settlement of the China Incident. Marquis KIDO's personal opinion was that the China 18 Incident must be speedily settled.

- Did you or did you not agree with Marquis 20 KIDO?
 - Naturally, I agreed with him. A
- Were you present at the cabinet meeting 23 held on August 2, 1938, when the government policy was 24 discussed with regard to the Changkufeng Incident?

A Yes.

Q Was the Welfare Minister KIDO also present at this same cabinet meeting?

A Yes, he was present.

Q What was decided at that cabinet meeting?

On the previous day, that is, I think that was August 1, USSR aircraft attacked deep into Korean territory simultaneously with attacks from the ground, causing us to entertain very serious doubts as to whether or not Russia had positive intentions in connection with the affair. Generally, two points were decided at that cabinet meeting. The first point was localization of the incident and speedy local settlement of the issue; that is, nonexpansion and aggravation of the incident and local settlement of the incident on the spot. The second point of the policy decided was to transfer the matter to diplomatic negotiations with a view to effecting a speedy settlement of the incident, and the army attitude thereon was that it would make every effort to effect a local settlement of the issue even though Japan may have to withdraw from the line which the USSR claimed to be the frontier in the vicinity of Changkufeng.

Q Did Marquis KIDO agree with the cabinet decision?

A Yes.

2

3

4 5

8

10

12

14

15

17

20

21

22<

24

MR. HOZUMI: That concludes my examination, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Warren. For whom? HIRANUMA, I suppose.

MR. WARREN: Oh, for HIRANUMA.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. WARREN:

General ITAGAKI, at the time of the formation of the HIRANUMA Cabinet, in January, 1939, is it not true that Baron HIRANUMA asked you to remain in the cabinet as Minister of War?

A Yes.

O Now, prior to your acceptance of the post did you have a conversation with Baron HIRANUMA?

A I think it was during the morning of January 5 a messenger came from the cabinet formation headquarters, that is to say, from Baron HIRANUMA, asking me to come there, so I went.

On that occasion Baron HIRANUMA told me that in connection with his being granted the Imperial command to form a new cabinet the principle task which would be before the new cabinet would be to effect a speedy settlement of the Chine Incident. Baron HIRANUMA continued to say that this plan, that is, the plan to effect the speedy settlement of the

China Incident, was also the policy of the previous cabinet and therefore that the new cabinet was merely continuing that fixed policy; and he furthermore said that inasmuch as I, the War Minister in the previous cabinet, was being asked to remain in the same post in the new cabinet, he emphatically stated that the retention of the office was an important matter in connection with the handling of the settlement of the China Incident.

Now, as a condition precedent to your accepting the position of Minister of War, did you set out a 7-point program which you demanded that HIRANUMA follow or adhere to?

A I think that point would be cleared up if I am permitted to continue my reply on the last question.

Q Very well.

A I shall continue. As far as I was concerned.

I understood what the intentions and aims of the new

Prime Minister were, but since I could not accept the
invitation to remain in office on my own, I told him I

will have to return at once and consult -- bring up this
matter for discussion at the three army chiefs conference.

In this connection I said there was one point of doubt, and just to make sure I posed this question to

to

11

12

9

3

13 14

15

16

18

19

21

23

24

.

22.

Baron HIRANUMA, whether he had heard from the previous Prime Minister, Prince KONOYE, anything with regard to the strengthening of the Tripartite Anti-Comintern Pact. To my question Baron HIRANUMA replied that he had heard nothing of the question as yet, but that he would like to properly and wisely dispose of the question after the formation of the cabinet.

Thus I concluded my conversation with Baron HIRANUMA, called together the three chiefs conference, and made the report of what had transpired. At the conference of the three chiefs of the army it was concluded that the position and intentions of the new Prime Minister were abundantly clear, and I was induced to accept the offer to remain in office as War Minister.

Following the decision of the three chiefs conference I entrusted the Vice Minister of War, YAMAWAK: to serve as my messenger to go to the cabinet formation headquarters to notify Baron HIRANUMA of my acceptance of the request to stay in office.

What I have just narrated constitutes all of my conversation with Baron HIRANUMA on this matter.

Q Then, General ITAGAKI, may we assume from your answer that you did not name any conditions to Baron HIRANUMA that must be promised to be fulfilled before you accepted the position?

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

22

A "hat I have narrated to you constitutes everything.

Now, with reference to the witness YAMAWAKI, your former Vice War Minister, he testified Monday, in substance, that he handed to the headquarters for the formation of the HIRANUMA Cabinet a paper as to which the prosecution asked him if it did not contain seven conditions for your acceptance. Do you know anything of such a paper?

A I don't know anything about that.

MR. SHIMANCUCHI: I am Counsel SHIMANOUCHI.

I would like to ask two or three questions for defendant
OSHIMA.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. SHIMAPOUCHI:

o With regard to the negotiations for the Japanese, German, Italian Tripartite Alliance, did you ever send a message to OSHIMA -- a telegram to OSHIMA in Berlin?

A From the standpoint of the organization or system of our government, or actually speaking, I could not possibly do such a thing.

Malwed in this goomen's that the Nor Winister sant a

23 24

Q Actually, did you ever send a telegram to him or did you not?

A No, I have never sent a telegram.

Q According to court exhibit 2230, a German document -- a document of the German side, dated October 28 -- May 28, 1939, says that the War Minister sent OSHIMA a telegram to the following effect:

MR. SASAGAWA: If the Tribunal pleases, I heard from the interpreter "alliance" -- the word "alliance" mentioned, but from what I understand, the word "negotiation" should be translated.

THE PRESIDENT: We have a Language Board listening to all the interpreter is saying, and we have a monitor. If every Japanese in court claims the right to get up and correct them, we will have nothing but disorder. You have no right to interfere.

If you wish, you may approach the Language Section direct, but so as not to interfere with the proper discharge of their duties.

Q My first question said that -- was with regard to negotiations for a Japanese-German-Italian Treaty. I shall continue my question. The War Minister sent a telegram to OSHIMA to the following effect: It is claimed in this document that the War Minister sent a telegraphic message to Ambassador OSHIMA asking him to

7

8

6

2

3

4

5

9

11

12

15

14

17

16

19

18

2L 22

20

23

2

3

6

7

8

9

w

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

restrain the actions of ARITA.

THE INTERPRETER: There will be a retranslation of the counsel's statement: According to this document, the War Minister is alleged to have wired Ambassador OSHIMA in Berlin to the effect that he would like to have OSHIMA refrain from taking any actions or working on ARITA.

CROSS

Q (Continuing) The Army is of the determination to fight through at the cost of the fall of the Cabinet.

Did you ever send a telegram to this effect to OSHIMA or did you not?

I have never sent him a telegram.

MR. SHIMANOUCHI: That is all.

MR. MATTICE: The prosecution may cross-examine.

THE PRESIDENT: Judge Ny1.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY JUDGE NYI:

Mr. ITAGAKI, on page 10 of your affidavit, you refer to a statement made public by General HONJO in October, 1931. Was this statement in writing?

A No.

Did you remember -- memorize every word of his statement?

Yes. That statement was given by way of

22

23

explanation from General HONJO many, many times repeatedly. But, it appeared that General HONJO had a memo, but of that I do not know.

JUDGE NYI: Your Honor, in view of the fact that the accused said that this statement was not in writing, we withdraw our objection.

Q You admitted that the Kwantung Army had mapped out an operational plan before the outbreak of the Mukden Incident. Did you take part in drafting this plan?

A It appears that it would be necessary for me to explain what an operational plan is.

Q I don't want your explanation. You seem to have an explanation already in your affidavit. Now, I want to ask you whether you participated in the drafting, yes or no.

A The operational plan is drawn up by the officers in charge of operations in accordance with instructions, orders, and directions from the General Headquarters, issued, that is, by his superiors in that department. Now, I had no direct connection with drawing up this operational plan.

Q You say, the Supreme Command. Is that the Supreme Command in Tokyo?

A It is customary and it is a common practice

5

3

1

6

7 8

9

10

11

13 14

15

16

18

19

20

22.

23

24

in all nations for annual operational plans to be drawn up by the General Staff Headquarters where it is determined --

THE INTERPRETER: Correction, please, to the latter part: It is customary and it is a common practice in all nations for operational plans to be drafted in accordance with instructions from the General Staff Headquarters, where this draft is submitted for determination, annually.

Q But, you stated in your affidavit that you drafted this plan without getting assistance from the Central Authorities, and now you stated that you have drafted it with the direction of the Central Authorities. Do you see if there is an inconsistency?

A I think you will understand the matter clearly if you carefully read my affidavit, but I shall briefly explain. If you read a little while -- some paragraphs previous to what I think you have read in my affidavit, you will understand what I really mean. The Kwantung Army requested of the Central Authorities, as its desire, for an increase in troop strength, or such things as an increase in troop strength, the granting of newer and more modern weapons, or to change the disposition of the forces so as to meet with actual conditions on the spot. But, in spite of

this expression of the Kwantung Army's desire, the Central Authorities did not take these requests up, and the proper interpretation and understanding of my affidavit is this: And so the Kwantung Army, on its part, had no alternative but to draw up a plan in accordance with the troops, equipment, and material that it had available and had in its own hands.

1 b

be: This plan was reported and approved by the Central Authorities in Tokyo; is that correct?

A Yes.

Q What was the nature of the Kokusui-Kai? Was it a secret society like the Black Dragon Society?

Q Now, don't explain further. My question will

A Did you say Kokusui? Will you repeat it.

Q Kokusui-Kai.

A Not being well informed, I don't know of the existence of such a society.

Q "Koku" means the nation, "sui" means the essence; National Essence Society.

A Such an organization might have existed, but I do not know anything about it.

Q You have been reported that you were closely associated with the members therewith before the outbreak of the Mukden Incident. Did not the consular authorities in Mukden ever take steps to disassociate you or even to check your activities in association with members of the Kokusui Society?

A Since you are putting a very strange question to me, I am hard put to make a reply because I have never heard of a Kokusui-Kai and therefore I know nothing about it.

Q To refresh your mind I will read to you

8

9

11

12

14

16

17

18

20

21

22

24

a portion from Foreign Minister SHIDEHARA's telegram to Consul-General HAYASHI:

"We have heard that Colonel ITAGAKI and others of the Kwantung Army at your place are exerting themselves in various activities recently with considerably ample funds and are manipulating Japanese adventurers in China and members of the 'Kokusui-Kai'." Continuing: "and that in view of the tardy progress of the negotiation regarding the NAKAMURA Incident, especially, they have decided on some concrete move around the middle of this month." In conclusion he states:
"...please take further deliberate steps for controlling the activities of the Romin and the like."

This telegram was dated the 5th of September 1931. Does that help your memory?

A I have no knowledge as to the contents of the telegram just read by you, but in connection with some of the subject referred to therein I have some knowledge.

The contents ---

THE INTERPRETER: Correction: The contents in that telegram are groundless, but in connection with the subject matter brought up I have some knowledge.

A (Continuing) I don't remember when, but I think it was after the Lanchurian Incident that Major General MIYAKE, Chief of Staff of the Kwantung Army,

put a telegram before me and told me to read it. In connection with this, Major General MIYAKE, Chief of Staff, explained that he could not quite withhold his indignation over the matter and went to the Censul-General and cast some very sarcastic jokes about it, whereupon, the Consul-General, semewhat embarrassed, went on scratching his head.

But with regard to such groundless contentions as to the fact that I had ample funds or had manipulated adventurers, if you wish I should be very glad to make a lengthy explanation.

Q Before you make an explanation --

THE MONITOR: Just a moment, please. The answer previous to that should be "Chief of Staff, Major General MIYAKE, felt that it was ridiculous, but..." and so forth.

THE PRESIDENT: Does it require a lengthy explanation to disclaim your association with such people?

facts to prove that there were ample funds or anything which would show any connection of myself with the Kolusui-Kai. I am a poor man and I have always been a poor man and have never had any money, and as for the Kwantung Army --

4

6

7

9

10

12

14

15

16 17

18

19

21

23

25

Q Just a few minutes ago you answered me that you have never heard of such a society as the Kokusui Society. Now, later you stated that you were shown a telegram by the Consul-General. Now, was it a fact that you knew what the Kokusui Society was for?

A I have never said that a Consul-General showed me a telegram.

THE PRESIDENT: He said Major General MIYAKE.

Q The Chief of Staff MIYAKE.

So, did you notice that Kokusui Society in this telegram shown by MIYAKE?

A No, I did not notice that particular point, but I do recall some charges or statements that many adventurers were being used.

JUDGE NYI: May it please the Tribunal, I ask that the witness be shown this telegram, which was supposed to have been -- the contents of which were supposed to have been shown to him by Chief of Staff MIYAKE.

THE PRESIDENT: Show it to him after the luncheon recess. We will recess until half-past one.

(Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was taken.)

AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330.

MARFHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: With the Tribunal's permission, the accused OKA will be absent from the courtroom for the whole of the afternoon conferring with his counsel.

Captain Kraft.

LANGUAGE ARBITER (Captain Kraft): With the Court's permission, with reference to exhibit No. 3316 we submit that the Japanese record, dated 5 July, 1946, page 12, and the English transcript of the same date, page 1935, line 7 and 8, show the date to be June, 1930.

THE PRESIDENT: Thank you, Captain Kfaft. Judge Nyi.

24

SEISHIRO ITAGAKI, an accused, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY JUDGE NYI (Continued):

Q Mr. ITAGAKI, have you read the contents of this telegram?

A Yes, I have read it.

Q Does this bear the same contents as the one shown to you by MIYAKE?

A This is a different document. Chief of Staff MIYAKE talked with the Consul-General at the Consulate-General, and the talk ended more or less in a big laugh between the two, but MIYAKE asked the Consul-General if he might not be shown the original and make a copy thereof which consisted of two or, perhaps, three pages. And he had those two or three sheets of paper when he talked to me. The Chief of Staff held two or three sheets of paper and said it contained such and such and asked me if I might not look at it. I did, but I do not recall -- I have no recollection whether the contents of what were contained in those sheets are what are contained in this document.

Q You mean to say that you are not sure whether

2 3

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

the contents of these two documents were the same? You don't mean to say that it was an entirely different document. -

I have no recollection whatsoever as to the form of this document nor the contents thereof such as the mention of the name "Kokusui-kai." I have no recollection whatever of saying such a word as "Kokusui-kai."

Q Does the other document contain similar contents aside from "Kokusui-kai"?

Yes. As I said before, such words as "adventurer" or "ronin" -- that I do remember.

JUDGE NYI: If your Honor please, we will tender this evidence at a later stage.

Q Mr. ITAGAKI, was it a fact that the carrying out of your plan involved actions of the Japanese garrison at Fushun?

No, entirely different.

Do you remember that as early as feptember 14 an emergency defense meeting was called at Fushun in anticipation of something to happen?

I should like to call your attention to what has already been explained in this court in connection with the Fushun question. Are you asking me about this?

1	Q Perhaps you will lend me your ear. I asked
2	you whether there was such a meeting called.
3	A Well, I heard of that afterwards.
4	Q How long afterwards?
5	A It was after the outbreak of the incident
6	that I heard of it.
7	Q In connection with General TATEKAWA's arrival
8	from Tokyo, at what hour of the day did he reach Muk-
9	den station?
10	A I have no exact recollection, but I should
11	think it was some time late in the afternoon, around
12	five or six.
13	Q Do you recall what time you arrived at Muk-
14	den from Liaoyang?
15	A Not exact about this either, but I should
16	think it was around two or three o'clock in the after-
17	noon.
18	Q Do you recall what did you do during the
19	period between your arrival and his arrival?
20	A I do not think I had any special thing to
21	do.
22	Q Were you in the Special Service Organ?
23	A I was at a Japanese inn called the Shinyokan.
24	Q Do you remember what kind of clothes did
25	General TATEKAWA wear, whether it was a military

uniform or civilian clothes?

A Well, I don't know what he wore during his trip; but, when I met him at the Japanese inn, he was attired in a Japanese cotton-padded kimono.

Q Civilian clothes, isn't it?

A The dotera is not a uniform of any kind. It is just lounging kimono supplied by the inn to relax in.

Q Did you know that you had an important mis-

A Until I had this interview with him, I knew nothing.

Q Did you ask him why he was attired in civilian clothes?

THE PRESIDENT: Well, there is no point in this, Judge Nyi. You are trying to prove that he went there furtively, I suppose. All you have got so far is that he was wearing the garb supplied by the inn to its customers.

Q You say you dined with him. How long a time -- how much time did you spend with him, about a couple of hours?

A Yes, I think about that amount of time.

Q Was it customary and even compelling that a special emissary like TATEKAWA should deliver his

5

1

2

3

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

message without the slightest delay, especially in view of the urgent circumstances then existing?

A Perhaps so.

Q But apparently he did not, did he?

A First of all, all I learned from him is what I have already stated in my affidavit. I did not hear from him anything in addition to that in connection with his mission.

Q But you did tell him that there was no need for worrying, is that correct? Why did you make an effort to tell him that there was no need for worrying?

A He addressed a question to me, and so I replied in accordance with my belief.

Q Where did he stay that night?

This A The same inn.

Q And on your way to the billet you said you were concerned with the case of NAKAMURA and so you went to the Special Fervice Organ. You have earlier told TATEKAWA that there was no need for worrying.

Why did you again feel concern that night at such late hour so that you feel that you should call on the Special Fervice Organ? What's the reason?

A No, that isn't so. It was only about 8:30 o'clock in the evening, so it was still too early to

2

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

20

21

22

23 24

retire; and because I had some time I made a visit.

Q Your visit stayed -- continued until about half-past ten or even later.

A You mean my visit to the Special fervice Organ?

Q Exactly.

A As I have already written in my affidavit, because there was no new information which had come in, I engaged in an informal chat with the members of the staff of the Special Fervice Organ; and it was just about the time I was on the point of leaving the Special Fervice Organ for my billet that an urgent message came in from the front line -- from a telephone -- an urgent telephone call from the garrison reporting the outbreak of an incident. This prevented me from returning to my billet.

Q so this was cuite a long chat, was it, two and a half hours and longer?

A It couldn't have been as long as that. The time that I called up special service Organ was about nine o'clock, so I should say our chat lasted about an hour and a half.

Q Was it a rule that any communication with Army Headquarters had to be requested through the Special Fervice Organ?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

That was customary.

So, the special service Organ was an importengaging in collecting ant function and not merely information and issuing press releases, am I correct?

Seeing that the question related to communications, I'll start my reply by beginning with that ... The Special Service Organ had a telegraph -- code telegram form and not everybody having this form; and so, if there was any need to send a coded military telegram, it was necessary to call up the Special Fervice Organ. I couldn't quite get your meaning when you said something about a specially important function of the special Service Organ.

I was asking you whether the Special Service Organ was engaged merely in collecting information and issuing press releases and if there is any

I think the functions of the Special Service Organ have already been fully explained to this Tribunal. But, whenever any negotiations related to military matters had to be conducted, the important matters were relayed to the Consulate-General, and less important or minor matters were dealt with directly by the Special Pervice Organ with the Staff Office of the Chinese in Mukden. .

You still haven't answered my question. Now let me ask you another one.

THE PRESIDENT: Judge Nyi, there are very many important matters about which this witness may be cross-examined, but there is a limited amount of time to be given to each. You have been a very long time in this issue. There is an immense amount of evidence on the prosecution side about the Mukden issue. Do you want to add to it extensively?

JUDGE NYI: If your Honor please, I want to get a direction from the Tribunal if this is considered by the Court to be cumulative.

THE PREFIDENT: The amount of time that you are spending on this is not warranted by the results you are getting.

JUDGE NYI: We would ask if the Court is interested to hear further evidence about this Mukden Incident, if the Court does not think it is cumulative.

THE PRESIDENT: You are avoiding what I am putting to you. I am telling you, in effect, you are spending a lot of time in trying to get something, but you are getting nothing. It is our duty under the Charter not to waste time on details, and you are not even getting details.

2

3 4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

10

20

21

22

23

JUDGE NYI: With all due respect, I must state that we are only trying to put forth the case, and we are only trying to get in more facts to prove our case. We will certainly --

THE PREFIDENT: It is a matter for the majority of the Tribunal to decide whether they have
heard enough of this cross-examination on this
incident.

JULGE NYI: I would like to get a ruling of the Tribunal on this point.

THE PRE: IDENT: Proceed to cross-examine as you intended. Every effort of mine to save time here seems to be frustrated.

BY JUDGE NYI (Continued):

Q Mr. ITAGAKI, was it a fact that, after SHIMAMOTO and HIRATA stated to you that they were ready to fight, you immediately approved that plan?

A Yes.

n Did you order either HIRATA or SHIMAMOTO to ascertain the actual situation before carrying on their plan of attack?

A I tried to ascertain the situation as much as possible.

24

Reichers & Yelden

Q How?

A I heard each and every telephone call that came in from the front at the Special Service Organ. As I have stated in my affidavit, I took every trouble and effort to obtain data upon which I can make a sound judgment of the situation. As it was, it was a sudden unexpected incident. The telephone messages coming in from the front lines were fragmentary and at times were even inconsistent. Try how hard we may to ascertain the true nature of the situation, it was a difficult task because our signal corps was constantly moving and changing their position. Actually it took time to establish contact with them in order to get the details.

Q Turning now to the municipal administration in Mukden, was it you who recommended DOHIHARA to be the mayor?

A No.

Q Was he appointed by General HONJO?

A The appointment was made by General HONJO.

Q Do you recall that MORISHIMA advised you against the setting up of the municipal administration?

A No, it was the Chief of Staff who called Consul MORISHIMA and I was just present at the meeting

22

23

24

25

with the commandant of the Kempeitai and perhaps others, and so the conference or talks were held between the Chief of Staff and Consul MORISHIMA.

- Q And you were present, were you?
- A Yes, as I said before.
- Q But you did not accept this position, did you?
- A Consul MORISHIMA did not present to me anything that would require my acceptance.
- Q But did he express an idea against the setting up of the municipal administration and did he not get any results?

A Consul MORISHIMA, putting a question to the Chief of Staff, asked whether a military administration was going to be established. To this question the Chief of Staff replied no, no military administration was contemplated. Such an exchange of question and answer took place. Other than that I have no recollection as to what transpired.

Q Did Consul-General HAYASHI call on General HONJO in person to point out that it was a mistake to set up a municipal administration?

A Well, I have not directly heard anything from General HONJO but what I heard from the Chief of Staff was similar to what was said at the conversation between the Chief of Staff and Consul MORISHIMA.

Q Do you know what General HONJO replied to the Consul-General?

A As I said before, my recollection is that General HONJO on his part stated that no military administration was being established and that the Consul-General, understanding the position held by the Kwantung Army, returned. That is all.

Q Did not the Commander tell to the Consul-General that on the whole he agreed with the Consul-General but inasmuch as the order had already been given he had no way to alter it?

A No, that is not so. What I heard was as I have already testified.

Q You stated in your affidavit that there were only a few Japanese advisers and a greater part of the functionaries were Chinese nationals. Do you know who was Chief of the General Affairs Section?

A I do not know.

Q Was not bis name IORIYA, Makoto?

THE INTERPRETER: Will you give us the spelling of the surname?

JUDGE NYI: I-O-R-I-Y-A; that is the surname.

A Well, a person by the name of IORIYA was the president of the Japanese Residents' Association and

11

3

4

6

8

9

10

13

15

16

17

19

20

22

23

3

5

7

9

10

12

14

16

18

19

21

23 24

25

he was one of several Japanese members, and to that extent I have a recollection but I have no knowledge whether he had taken such an office.

Q Are you familiar with the personnel -familiar with the chiefs of the sections in this
municipal administration?

A Are you referring to the municipal administration?

Q Yes.

A As I said before, I do remember that several Japanese entered the municipal administration and worked there, but as to whether they held the position of chief of any section or department or anything of that nature, there is nothing in my recollection.

Q How could you be so certain to say that they only served as advisers?

A Well, I didn't fully comprehend your question, but responsible Chinese who could hold positions of some responsibility and leadership had hidden or had escaped -- I don't know which term would be the better term to use -- and they were not around. If they had been around there was no need for Japanese helping set up their administration.

Q I do not want your speech. I want to know--

you stated that Japanese only served as advisers and now I want to know whether you were familiar with the names of the chiefs of sections of the municipal administration. You have already answered yes and now let me ask you who was Chief of the Police Affairs Section?

A The mayor used this person as an assistant, but how he used him I do not know. Slight addition: How the mayor used this assistant, a Japanese, I do not know.

Q Was the Chief of Police Affairs Section
TSURUOKA, Eitaro, and was not the name of the Chief
of Financial Affairs Section MITANI, Suejiro; was not
the name of the Chief of Sanitary Affairs Section
MORITA, Fukumatsu; was not the name of the mayor's
secretary TOMIMURA, Junichi; was the name of the
Chief of the Technical Section and of the Enterprise
Section YOSHIKAWA, Yasushi? Do they consist of the
key personnel of the municipal administration?

A As I have said repeatedly, there were no Chinese, responsible Chinese, around to undertake the task of municipal administration and therefore, there being no alternative, the Japanese took over that responsibility for the time being; but as to your question as to what Japanese occupied the position of

chief of which section, I did not reply yes to your question. You said that I knew the names but my reply to your question was that I did not know.

5.

5

6

3

9

11

13

14

16

18

19

21

22

24

25

previously I said you whether you were familiar with the personnel of the chiefs of the sections in the municipal administration, and your answer was definitely, yes.

A Well, I actually do not know the names of those people so I have consistently and repeatedly replied that I do not know. If I replied otherwise there might be some kind of a misunderstanding. How can I say I know them when I don't?

- Q You do know the name of IORIYA, don't you?
- A Yes, very well.
- Q And all the other names which I have just mentioned, they are Japanese, aren't they?
 - A Yes.
- Q Now, do you know, Mr. ITAGAKI, that in order to raise funds the Municipal Administration under DOHIHARA had planned the monopoly of opium and the issuance of lattery tickets?
 - No, I do not know.
- Q Did you know that the Kwantung Army Headquarters had no objection but instructed the municipal administration to make clear stipulations and to get the approval of the headquarters for this before the plans were put in operation?
 - A No, I do not remember.

Q To refresh your mind, let me read to you a telegram from Consul-General HAYASHI in Mukden to Foreign Minister SHIDEHARA, dated 13 October 1931. It reads as follows:

"According to reliable sources we are notified that the municipal administrative office has planned a monopolization of opium and the issue of lottery tickets for the purpose of raising their funds, and has asked the headquarters of the army for their approval. We are also informed that though the headquarters of the army had no objections to the plan they instructed the office to state the stipulations clearly and to get approval of the headquarters before the plans are put in operation, and also to report the stipulations to the Consulate and to the police station. Not only are the monopolization of opium and the issuing of lottery tickets naturally unfavorable from the viewpoint of international relations, and so forth, in general, but they are the materialization of a part of the plans of the army merked Secret 781 of October 2. Therefore regarding this matter we ask you to call upon the top army to immediately check this movement and in consideration of the delicate situation kindly take special care to preserve absolute secrecy from army as to the receipt

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

7

of this telegram and the contents of the above mentioned official letter."

Is that clear, that both the army and the consulate had been approached on that subject? Does that help you to recollect?

A There is something a bout "approach", or something of that nature, at the end of the question. May I have it repeated? I could not comprehend it fully.

(Whereupon, a portion of the last question was repeated by the Japanese court reporter.)

A Well, I have never been approached.

Q Do you remember that the army had been approached?

A I do not know.

Q Do you know that DOHIHARA was guiding the local Peace Preservation Committee in Mukden?

A The mayor handled only affairs relating to the city.

Q Was he also taking charge of the Special Service Organ?

A It is a fact that Colonel DOHIHARA was the chief of the Special Service Organ up to the outbreak of the Incident. However, there were no headquarters of the army there. That is why the Special Service

3

2

1

5

4

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

20

21

22

24

Organ was necessary. But as soon as Headquarters was moved up to that point, to Mukden, there was no need for any Special Service Organ. It would naturally go out of existence. Therefore DOHIHARA was no longer chief of the Special Service Organ, but exclusively a mayor.

Q Do you mean to say that the Special Service Organ was abolished after he became mayor?

A Yes, natural abolition, automatic abolition.

Q Do you recall that General MINAMI has stated to this Court that the abolition of this Special Service did not take effect until 1935?

A That is different. That is the relationship; while the Kwantung Army Headquarters continued to exist in Mukden, the Mukden Special Service Organ was abolished. After the establishment of Manchukuo, and I think it was some time after the establishment of that State, the general Kwantung Headquarters of that army were moved up to Changchun, or Hsinking, and there was a short period of time when there was neither a Headquarter: nor a Special Service Organ in Mukden; and so, because the necessity for a Special Service Organ in Mukden arose -- in October or November, if I remember correctly, in 1932 -- the Mukden Special Service Organ was revived. Therefore, during the tenure of office of Commanding

General MINAMI in Manchuria, there was a Mukden Special Service Organ.

Now, Mr. ITAGAKI, do you know that DOHIHARA prohibited the setting up of another political regime with the Forr Peoples' Preservation Committee as the basis, which was sponsored or was headed by Kan Chao Hsi?

Is that something different from the Peace Pre Prvation Corps or Peace Preservation Committee?

Yes, it is different.

Then I do not know.

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

22

24

E 1 pr 2 a t 3 MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

Judge Ny1.

BY JUDGE NYI (Continued):

THE PRESIDENT:

Q General ITAGAKI, was it a fact that when the local Peace Preservation Committee issued a declaration on November 5, 1931, the Kwantung Army headquarters ordered the insertion of the following words: "Relations shall be severed with Chang Hsueh-Liang's former regime and the National Government of the Republic of China"?

A I do not know.

Q Do you recall that because of the insistence of the Kwantung Army for the insertion of the above words influential persons like Yuan Ching-Kai and Yu Chung Han were placed in a dilemna?

A I do not.

Q Was Yuan Ching-Kai one of the central figures in the so-called South Government Guiding Board?

A I think Yuan Ching-Kai was associated with the Peace Preservation Committee, while Yu Chung-Han was associated with the Self-Government Guiding Board.

called together the garrison commanders and provost marshals of the various areas to support the policy?

& Kap

a

11

10

13

15

16

17

19

21

20

22

24

That is not a fact.

3

2

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

o Was it on that occasion that Chief of Staff MIYAKE gave instructions that the enforcement of local autonomy and the guidance by Japanese should never be revealed outwardly?

In Japan where? A

THE INTERPRETER: "111 you repeat the question, please?

Was it on this occasion that Chief of Staff MIYAKE gave instructions that the enforcement of local autonomy and the guidance by Japanese should never be revealed outwardly?

A I don't know about that.

You mentioned you met Tsang Shih-i. What was he doing after the outbreak of the Mukden Incident?

After the outbreak of the Incident and I think even before the outbreak of the Incident he was confined at his own home because of illness.

"as it a fact that he was arrested and imprisoned and killed December 15 for refusing to help in the establishment of an independent government?

No, that is not so.

"as it Yuan Chin-Kai who was approached next for this job?

A From the very outset of the establishment of

the Peace Preservation Committee the president, or chairman, was Yuan Chin-Kai.

Q Around the middle of November, 1931, was Yuan Chin-Kai also under strict watch by the army because he was complaining to foreigners?

A No, there was nothing of the kind.

O Po you mean you didn't know that or that there was never such a thing?

A That there was nothing of the kind.

Q On page 15 of your affidavit you stated that General Chang Hai-Peng was firm in his decision to support the Emperor Hsuan Tung.

A That was Lo Chen-Yu -
THE INTERPRETER: The witness went as far as that.

O Was he one of the supporters of Hsuan Tung?

A You mean Chang Hai-Peng?

o Yes.

A Yes, I learned of it from Lo Chen-Yu.

O Do you know that he received Japanese aid in the form of money and rifles?

A No, that is not the case.

Q You mentioned the name of Hsieh Chieh-Shih in your affidavit on tope of page 15. Do you know that the Japanese Consul General in Mukden was told by Mr.

Shih that Chang Hai-Peng would enter Tsitsihar and declare the province of Heilunghiang independent on condition of receiving Japanese aid?

A I do not have any knowledge as to who told Chang Hai-Peng about the giving of Japanese assistance.

There are the me care partief pating in which

actived a disonstitut mention tolk in Tamana Arial Lin

this may make to fisher

7

6

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

24

25

,

4

7

8

10

12

13 14

15

17

18

19

21

23

22

24

Q Did the Japanese participate in the discussion about the form of government that the new state was going to take?

A Are you referring to the Northeastern Administrative Council meeting in the middle of February?

Q At any time did the Japanese participate in the discussion about what form of government the new state was going to take?

A There was in no case participation in such discussions.

Q Did you hear day before yesterday the reading of the paper, exhibit 3296-A, in which was described a discussion meeting held in Yamato Hotel in Mukden on January 11, 1932, and in that meeting, attended by both Japanese and Chinese notables, they discussed the form of government to be established in Manchuria?

A Is that a question?

Q Yes, it is.

A That was a meeting sponsored by a Japanese newspaper. It was a purely unofficial or informal gathering and had no responsibility whatsoever of any kind.

Q Was it attended by members of the Kwantung

Army?

I understand that some members participated in the meeting, attended the meeting. Correction:

I understand that it is represented that Kwantung

Army members attended the meeting, but they attended purely in their private capacity, and they had no official responsibility of any kind by attending this so-called round-table discussion.

Q During your interviews with the political leaders of the various districts did you come across any one who dared oppose the independence movement?

A The situation is as I have described in my affidavit.

Q You stated that they agreed with you unanimously. Was that pointed to those persons who were approached by you, not including the people whom you have not approached?

A These were people who had possession of actual authority, such as chiefs of provinces and commanders of army troops. They were all men who had responsibility toward the people, the inhabitants of the country; and as for the Kwantung Army headquarters, it was most necessary, in the performance of their duty, to preserve law and order, to understand and fully appreciate the desires of these people of

. 1

So you mean to say that they derived their authority and responsibility from the Kwantung Army?

That is not the case at all. I am speaking of the masters of the people living on the soil.

And the soil was occupied by Japanese troops; was that correct?

The Japanese Army has never occupied that

Now, with regard to Henry Pu-Yi, was it you who sent DOHIHARA down to Tientsin in October-

The Commanding General of the Kwantung Army.

Was it a fact that the details of the meeting with Pu-Yi were arranged by you?

You mean my meeting with Mr. Pu-Yi?

DOHIHARA's meeting.

Colonel DOHIHARA was dispatched to Tientsin by order of Commanding General HONJO.

Did you hear the reading of DOHIHARA's interrogations in which he stated that details of the meeting with Pu-Yi were arranged by you? Exhibit 2191, appearing on record, 15727.

THE PRESIDENT: What exhibit number did you give then?

JUDGE NYI: 2190. THE PRESIDENT: Yes, that is correct. considerable incitioned at that the books there were the province in the community street of the

-

Leftler & Wolf

7

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Q Did you hear that?

A Yes, I understand it in its general outline.

Of course, with respect to Colonel DOHIHARA's trips
such as matters of traveling expenses, I looked after
that.

Q Why was he preferred and given this assignment?

A As already shown by defense exhibits DOHIHARA's principal assignment was to collect information and intelligence in the Tientsin area -- in the Tientsin-Peiping area.

Q I am asking you was DOHIHARA --

A On the other hand, DOHIHARA's burdens were considerably lightened at that time because there were fine, responsible persons to whom he could entrust much of his principal tasks.

THE MONITOR: At that time the position of the Mayor, which MOHIHARA had held previously, was delegated to a fine, responsible Chinese who took over his job, and thereby relieving DOHIHARA of much of his busy tasks and consequently DOHIHARA was comparatively speaking, a free man. Further, he was especially considered the most suitable man to perform this assignment because his main task related to collection of intelligence or information.

Q Was it not a fact that before DOHIHARA was sent,

22

23

SZ

61

a certain UEZUMI had been sent to Tientsin to contact
Battalion Commander SAKAI for the purpose of taking
Pu-Yi to Yinkow?

A Who is this person you called UEZUMI; a IZ military officer?

Q Are you familiar with the name?

A Among army officers I do not recall such a name.

Q Are you familiar with the name SAKAI? 91

A Well, there are many persons with that name SAKAI in the army, but I do not know or recall whether or not a person by that name was in Tientsin at that time.

Q Was it not because the previous plan had thot materialized and you found it necessary to dispatch a man like DOHIHARA to enlarge on this project?

A In the first place, I do not know anything about what you called previously or previous plant, and secondly, I am totally unfamiliar with what you call a project.

Q Didn't you admit that the details were arranged by you as stated by DOHIHARA in his interrogatory?

A What I have said previously was that inasmuch as DOHIHARA's going to Tientsin would require some traveling expenses I looked after that and other minor

t

details, but other than that I do not know what you are referring to when you say about other arrangements because I know nothing of them. Beyond what I: have stated, I do not know anything.

Q You only arranged for the finances, expenses.

Are you the Treasurer of the Kwantung Army?

A No. In looking after these details as to DOHIHARA's trip, I gave the orders to the intendance officer to provide necessary traveling expenses for a tour which was scheduled for about a one month duration and also sufficient money -- money sufficient to employ perhaps one assistant which DOHIHARA might need.

Q And nothing more than that?

A That is what I mean.

Q Who was the other man? What was the man called by the name of OTANI, Takeshi?

A That, I do not know. He was perfectly free to take his assistant from Mukden or to employ this assistant after he arrived in Tientsin.

Q Do you know that a third man had joined them from Dairen by the name of KUDO, Tetsusaburo? Would that affect the finances over which you seemed to take charge?

THE MONITOR: Would you repeat that question, please?

1 Q 6 A 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 This testion with a nave already refuted the

Would that affect the finances over which you seemed to take charge?

I have no supervision over finances.

Didn't you say that you ordered this officer in charge of the funds to give them money sufficient for two men and for about a period of about a month?

Yes.

So you didn't know what DOHIHARA was going down to Tientsin, didn't you?

THE INTERPRETER: Do you mean going down to Tientsin for what?

JUDGE NYI: Yes, what his business was.

As I have been saying for the past number of minutes, DOHIHARA was given this assignment by Commanding General HONJO and left on this assignment. I know that.

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

Q For what?

A First of all, to collect information and intelligence relative to the Tientsin-Peiping area. Secondly, there was some troops of Chang Hsueh-liang still left north of the Great Wall, and it was highly necessary, in order to avoid any possible clashes between the Japanese and the Chinese, to ascertain the true situation existing in that area, inasmuch as there was a possibility, unless precautions were taken, that when these troops, which were still north of the Great Wall, might withdraw within the Great Wall.

THE INTERPRETER: Slight addition: The area north of the Great Wall being within the jurisdiction of the Kwantung Army.

Q Was that all he was supposed to do in .
Tientsin?

A I haven't completed my reply yet.

Q Go ahead.

A This matter which I have already related to you had been, Ithink, fully described by the witness KATAKURA before this Tribunal. And one other point: There was much information flowing into Manchuria to the effect that Pu Yi was very desirous of returning to his native country. Inasmuch as DOHIHARA was going down on his assignment to Tientsin at that time, he was

given the additional assignment to ascertain whether this reported desire of Pu Yi was true or false.

Q How did you find out, from HONJO or from DOHIHARA, about the purpose of the trip?

A The assignment was made by the Commanding General of the Kwantung Army. All those in the Staff Office were informed of these developments.

Q Did you give any advice?

A Do you mean to Colonel DOHIHARA?

Q Yes.

A I did not give him any particular advice, not being responsible for him in so far as our positions were concerned, but, being friends, I should think I told him not to overstrain himself in performing his assignment, but that is about all.

Q But, you were in charge of Intelligence in the Kwantung Army?

A As I think the Tribunal is already aware, the Kwantung Army Headquarters at that time divided the Staff Office into sections -- into such departments as General Affairs Section, the First Section, the Second Section, and so forth, and I was Chief of the General Affairs Section, and the First Section handled operations, and the Second Section Intelligence. My job -- my official job being that of the Chief of the

General Affairs Section, naturally the intendance officer was a subordinate of mine, and it was only natural that I should give him orders with regard to providing travelling expenses.

Q Now, Mr. ITAGAKI, you seem to have a clear recollection of what KATAKURA testified before this Tribunal. Let me ask you if you remember the reading of exhibit 21696?

THE MONITOR: Is it 21696?

Was a telegram from HAYASHI to SHIDEHARA, dated
November 12. The essence of it: that the Commander
of the Army on the 12th -- asking the Commander of the
Army on the 12th regarding Hsuan Tung coming to Manchuria. He replied, saying that not only had he
heard nothing whatsoever but that he even had Staff
Officer ITAGAKI notify the Tientsin Garrison several
days before that they were not to hurry about the
Emperor's coming to Manchuria. Do you remember that?

- A Yes, I have some recollection.
- Q Did you notify DOHIHARA to quit?

A I was ordered by the Commanding General of the Kwantung Army through the Chief of Staff to send this telegram.

Q Now, what do you mean by saying that you told

16

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

19

21

22

23 24

DOHIHARA not to overstrain himself about it?

A Well, in connection with information and intelligence in the Tientsin-Peiping area -- that is, in collecting information and intelligence in the Tientsin-Peiping area, there was -- it was not -- could not be denied that there would be no possibility of some confusion being created as a result of a possible troop withdrawal, and one could not say there was no danger, and with such ideas in mind I used this word. I told him not to overstrain himself.

Q Does that have anything to do in connection with the taking of Hsuan Tung to Manchuria?

A It has no relation whatsoever, because the assignment was to ascertain whether the Emperor Hsuan Tung actually desired to go to Manchuria or not.

Q Now, you stated on page 27 that, "It is by no means a fact that the Kwantung Army sent troops to North China." Did the Kwantung Army ever occupy any area outside of Manchuria?

A I presume that your question is related with my affidavit, and so I should like to divide up the question according to the relevant periods. What I have stated in my affidavit is that there was nothing of the kind while I was holding some office in the Kwantung Army, either as Assistant Chief of Staff,

Chief of Staff, or Chief of Section.

Q Do you mean that during that time the Kwantung Army never occupied any area outside of Manchuria?

A That is what I meant.

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until half past nine tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Thursday, 9 October 1947, at 0930.)